

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,516	05/13/2005	Michel Zamfiroiu	BDM-05-1041	9933
38811 7890 98756/2009 IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER US LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE			EXAMINER	
			SANDERS, AARON J	
	T ST, SUITE 4900 IIA. PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111111111111111111111111111111111111111			2168	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/527.516 ZAMFIROIU, MICHEL Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit AARON SANDERS 2168 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Aaron Sanders. (2) William Long. (4)____. Date of Interview: 13 May 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 13-22. Identification of prior art discussed: Klein U.S. 6.631,374. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that Klein did not teach the claimed step of "transforming" an original request into a modified request. The Examiner responded that Klein's "temporal access" corresponded to the claimed "original request" and Klein's "consistent read" (Fig. 9) could only be performed by "modifying" that request to retrieve the previous database state, as shown in Klein Fig. 10. No agreement was reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.